arXiv:1509.02731v1 [math.DG] 9 Sep 2015

Hamiltonian vector fields on Weil bundles

Norbert MAHOUNGOU MOUKALA[*], Basile Guy Richard BOSSOTO[†]
Marien NGOUABI University, Brazzaville, Congo

Abstract

Let M be a paracompact smooth manifold, A a Weil algebra and M^A the associated Weil bundle. In this paper, we give a characterization of hamiltonian field on M^A in the case of Poisson manifold and of Symplectic manifold.

Keywords: Weil algebra, Weil bundle, Poisson manifold, Hamiltonian vector fields

Mathematics Subject classification: 17D63, 53D17, 53D05, 58A32

1 Introduction

In what follows, we denote M, a paracompact differentiable manifold of dimension n, $C^\infty(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and A a local algebra in the sense of André Weil i.e a real commutative algebra of finite dimension, with unit, and with an unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of codimension 1 over $\mathbb{R}$[14].. In this case, there exists an integer h such that $\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} = (0)$ and $\mathfrak{m}^h \neq (0)$. The integer h is the height of A. Also we have $A = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

We recall that a near point of $x \in M$ of kind A is a morphism of algebras

$$\xi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow A$$

such that

$$\xi(f) - f(x) \in \mathfrak{m}$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. We denote M_x^A the set of near points of $x \in M$ of kind A and $M^A = \bigcup_{x \in M} M_x^A$ the manifold of infinitely near points on M of kind A and

$$\pi_M : M^A \longrightarrow M$$

the projection which assigns every infinitely near point to $x \in M$ to its origin x. The triplet (M^A, π_M, M) defines a bundle called bundle of infinitely near points or simply Weil bundle[4]..

When M and N are smooth manifolds and when $h : M \longrightarrow N$ is a differentiable map of class C^∞, then the map

$$h^A : M^A \longrightarrow N^A, \xi \longmapsto h^A(\xi)$$

---

[*] nmahomouk@yahoo.fr

[†] bossotob@yahoo.fr

such that for all g in $C^{\infty}(N)$,

$$[h^A(\xi)](g) = \xi(g \circ h)$$

is differentiable,[7].. Thus, for $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, the map

$$f^A : M^A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^A = A, \xi \longmapsto [f^A(\xi)](id_{\mathbb{R}}) = \xi(id_{\mathbb{R}} \circ f) = \xi(f)$$

is differentiable of class C^{∞}. The set, $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ of smooth functions on M^A with values on A, is a commutative algebra over A with unit and the map

$$C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), f \longmapsto f^A$$

is an injective morphism of algebras. Then, we have [2].:

$$(f+g)^A = f^A + g^A; (\lambda \cdot f)^A = \lambda \cdot f^A; (f \cdot g)^A = f^A \cdot g^A.$$

In [2]. and [9]., we showed that the following assertions are equivalent:

1. A vector field on M^A is a differentiable section of the tangent bundle (TM^A, π_{M^A}, M^A).
2. A vector field on M^A is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M^A)$.
3. A vector field on M^A is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ which is A-linear.
4. A vector field on M^A is a linear map $X : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ such that

$$X(f \cdot g) = X(f) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot X(g), \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

In all what follows, we denote $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ the set of vector fields on M^A and $Der_A[C^{\infty}(M^A, A)]$ the set of A-linear maps

$$X : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$$

such that

$$X(\varphi \cdot \psi) = X(\varphi) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot X(\psi), \quad \text{for any } \varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A).$$

Then [9].,

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) = Der_A[C^{\infty}(M^A, A)].$$

The map

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \times \mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M^A), (X, Y) \longmapsto [X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X$$

is skew-symmetric A-bilinear and defines a structure of an A-Lie algebra over $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$.

If

$$\theta : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M),$$

is a vector field on M, then there exists one and only one A-linear derivation,

$$\theta^A : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$$

called prolongation of the vector field θ, such that

$$\theta^A(f^A) = [\theta(f)]^A, \quad \text{for any } f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

If θ, θ_1 and θ_2 are vector fields on M and if $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, then we have:

1. $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^A = \theta_1^A + \theta_2^A$;
2. $(f \cdot \theta)^A = f^A \cdot \theta^A$;
3. $[\theta_1, \theta_2]^A = [\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A]$.

The map

$$\mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \theta \longmapsto \theta^A$$

is an injective morphism of $\mathbb{R}$-Lie algebras.

2 Hamiltonian vector fields on weil bundles

2.1 Structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A when M is a Poisson manifold

We recall that a Poisson structure on a smooth manifold M is due to the existence of a bracket $\{,\}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ such that the pair $(C^\infty(M), \{,\})$ is a real Lie algebra such that, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the map

$$ad(f) : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M), g \longmapsto \{f, g\}$$

is a derivation of commutative algebra i.e

$$\{f, g \cdot h\} = \{f, g\} \cdot h + g \cdot \{f, h\}$$

for $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$. In this case we say that M is a Poisson manifold and $C^\infty(M)$ is a Poisson algebra [12],[13]..

We denote

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow Der_{\mathbb{R}}[C^\infty(M)], f \longmapsto ad(f),$$

the adjoint representation and d_{ad} the operator of cohomology associated to this representation. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Lambda^p_{Pois}(M) = \mathcal{L}^p_{sks}[C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M)]$$

denotes the $C^\infty(M)$-module of skew-symmetric multilinear forms of degree p from $C^\infty(M)$ into $C^\infty(M)$. We have

$$\Lambda^0_{Pois}(M) = C^\infty(M).$$

When M is a smooth manifold, A a weil algebra and M^A the associated Weil bundle, the A-algebra $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ is a Poisson algebra over A if there exists a bracket $\{,\}$ on $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that the pair $(C^\infty(M^A, A), \{,\})$ is a Lie algebra over A satisfying

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \varphi_3\} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_3\} \cdot \varphi_2 + \varphi_1 \cdot \{\varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$$

for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ [1]..
When M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, let

$$[ad(f)]^A : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), g \longmapsto \{f, g\}^A,$$

be the prolongation of the vector field $ad(f)$ and let

$$[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

be the unique A-linear derivation such that

$$[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(g^A) = [ad(f)]^A(g) = \{f, g\}^A$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$.

For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, the application

$$\tau_\varphi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A),\, f \longmapsto -[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(\varphi)$$

is a vector field on M^A considered as derivation of $C^\infty(M)$ into $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ and

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

the unique A-linear derivation (vector field) such that

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(f^A) = \tau_\varphi(f) = -[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(\varphi)$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. We have for $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}} = [\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A,$$

and for $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ and for $a \in A$,

$$\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi+\psi}} = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi} + \widetilde{\tau_\psi}; \widetilde{\tau_{a\cdot\varphi}} = a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}; \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi\cdot\psi}} = \varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\psi} + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}.$$

For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we let

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_A = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi).$$

In [1]., we have show that this bracket defines a structure of A-Poisson algebra on $C^\infty(M^A, A)$.

Thus, when M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$, then $\{,\}_A$ is the prolongation on M^A of the structure of Poisson on M defined by $\{,\}$.

The map

$$C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \varphi \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau_\varphi},$$

is a representation from $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ into $C^\infty(M^A, A)$. We denote $\widetilde{d_A}$ the cohomology operator associated to this adjoint representation [9]..

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Lambda^p_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A) = \mathcal{L}^p_{sks}[C^\infty(M^A, A), C^\infty(M^A, A)]$ denotes the $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-module of skew-symmetric multilinear forms of degree p on $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ into $C^\infty(M^A, A)$. We have

$$\Lambda^0_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A) = C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

We denote

$$\Lambda_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^p_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A).$$

For $\Omega \in \Lambda^p_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A)$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_{p+1} \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d_A}\Omega(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi_i}}[\Omega(\varphi_1, ..., \widehat{\varphi_i}, ..., \varphi_{p+1})] \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \Omega(\{\varphi_i, \varphi_j\}_A, \varphi_1, ..., \widehat{\varphi_i}, ..., \widehat{\varphi_j}, ..., \varphi_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\varphi_i}$ means that the term $, \varphi_i$ is omitted.

Proposition 1. *For any $\eta \in \Lambda^p_{Pois}(M)$, we have*

$$\widetilde{d_A}(\eta^A) = (d\eta)^A.$$

3 Hamiltonian vector fields on weil bundles

When M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$, a vector field

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

1. is locally hamiltonian if θ is closed for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

   $$ad : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow Der\left[C^\infty(M)\right]$$

   i.e. $d_{ad}\theta = 0$.
2. is globally hamiltonian if θ is exact for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

   $$ad : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow Der\left[C^\infty(M)\right]$$

   i.e. there exists $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$.

Thus, a vector field

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

1. is locally hamiltonian if X is closed for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

   $$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A\left[C^\infty(M^A, A)\right]$$

   i.e $\widetilde{d_A}X = 0$.
2. is globally hamiltonian if X is exact for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

   $$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A\left[C^\infty(M^A, A)\right]$$

   i.e. there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that $X = \widetilde{d_A}(\varphi)$.

Proposition 2. *When M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$, then a vector field*

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is locally hamiltonian if and only if the vector field

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

is locally hamiltonian.

Proof. Indeed, for any $\eta \in \Lambda^p_{Pois}(M)$, we have

$$\widetilde{d_A}(\eta^A) = (d_{ad}\eta)^A.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$, we have

$$\widetilde{d_A}(\theta^A) = (d_{ad}\theta)^A.$$

Thus, $d_{ad}\theta = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{d_A}(\theta^A) = 0$. □

Proposition 3. *When M^A is a A-Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}_A$, then, a vector field*

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

locally hamiltonian is a derivation of the Poisson A-algebra $C^\infty(M^A, A)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \widetilde{d_A}X : & C^\infty(M^A, A) \times C^\infty(M^A, A) & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(M^A, A) \\ & (\varphi, \psi) & \longmapsto & (\widetilde{d_A}X)(\varphi, \psi) \end{array}$$

and if $\widetilde{d_A}X = 0$, then for any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & (\widetilde{d_A}X)(\varphi, \psi) \\ & = & \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}[X(\psi)] - \widetilde{\tau_\psi}[X(\psi)] - X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) \\ & = & \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_A - \{\psi, X(\varphi)\}_A - X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) \end{array}$$

i.e

$$X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) = \{X(\varphi), \psi\}_A + \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_A.$$

That ends the proof. □

Proposition 4. *Let M be a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$. If a vector field*

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is globally hamiltonian then the vector field

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian.

Proof. Based on the assumptions, there exists $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$. Thus,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta^A & = & [ad(f)]^A \\ & = & \widetilde{d_A}(f^A). \end{array}$$

Thus, $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$ then $\theta^A = \widetilde{d_A}(f^A)$ is globally hamiltonian. □

Proposition 5. *When M^A is a A-Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}_A$, then a vector field*

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

globally hamiltonian is the derivation interior of the Poisson A-algebra $C^\infty(M^A, A)$.

Proof. If the vector field

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian, there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ suth that $X = \widetilde{d_A}\varphi$. For any $\psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X(\psi) & = & (\widetilde{d_A}\varphi)(\psi) \\ & = & \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi) \\ & = & \{\varphi, \psi\}_A \end{array}$$

i.e. $X = ad(\varphi)$. where

$$ad(\varphi) : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), \psi \longmapsto \{\varphi, \psi\}_A$$

Thus, X is globally hamiltonian if there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that $X = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi} = ad(\varphi)$ i.e. X is the interior derivation of the Poisson A-algebra $C^\infty(M^A, A)$. □

3.1 Hamiltonian vector fields on M^A when M is a symplectic manifold

When (M,Ω) is a symplectic manifold, then (M^A,Ω^A) is a symplectic A-manifold [1].

For any $f\in C^\infty(M)$, we denote X_f the unique vector field on M such that

$$i_{X_f}\Omega = df$$

where

$$d:\Lambda(M)\longrightarrow\Lambda(M)$$

is the operator of de Rham cohomology. We denote

$$d^A:\Lambda(M^A,A)\longrightarrow\Lambda(M^A,A)$$

the operator of cohomology associated with the representation

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A)\longrightarrow Der\left[C^\infty(M^A,A)\right], X\longmapsto X.$$

For $\varphi\in C^\infty(M^A,A)$, we denote X_φ the unique vector field on M^A, considered as a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A,A)$ into $C^\infty(M^A,A)$, such that

$$i_{X_\varphi}\Omega^A = d^A(\varphi).$$

The bracket

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi,\psi\}_{\Omega^A} &= -\Omega^A(X_\varphi,X_\psi)\\ &= X_\varphi(\psi)\end{aligned}$$

defines a structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A and for any $f\in C^\infty(M)$,

$$X_{f^A} = (X_f)^A.$$

$$i_{(X_f)^A}\Omega^A = i_{X_{f^A}}\Omega^A.$$

We deduce that [1].:

Theorem 6. *If (M,Ω) is a symplectic manifold, the structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A defined by Ω^A coincide with the prolongation on M^A of the Poisson structure on M defined by the symplectic form Ω i.e for any $\varphi\in C^\infty(M^A,A)$, $\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} = X_\varphi$.*

Therefore, for any $\varphi,\psi\in C^\infty(M^A,A)$, we have

$$\{\varphi,\psi\}_{\Omega^A} = \{\varphi,\psi\}_A\,.$$

Proposition 7. *If ω is a differential form on M and if θ is a vector field on M, then*

$$(i_\theta\omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A).$$

Proof. If the degree of ω is p, then $(i_\theta\omega)^A$ is the unique differential A-form of degree $p-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(i_\theta\omega)^A(\theta_1^A,...,\theta_{p-1}^A) &= \left[(i_\theta\omega)(\theta_1,...,\theta_{p-1})\right]^A\\ &= \left[\omega(\theta,\theta_1,...,\theta_{p-1})\right]^A\end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. As $i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$ is of degree $p-1$ and is such that

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)\left[\theta_1^A, ..., \theta_{p-1}^A\right] &= \omega^A(\theta^A, \theta_1^A, ..., \theta_{p-1}^A) \\ &= \left[\omega(\theta, \theta_1, ..., \theta_{p-1})\right]^A \end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we conclude that $(i_\theta \omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$. □

When (M, Ω) is a symplectic manifold,

1. a vector field θ on M is locally hamiltonian if the form $i_\theta \Omega$ is closed for the de Rham cohomology and θ is globally hamiltonian if there exists $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $i_\theta \Omega = -d(f)$, i.e. the form $i_\theta \Omega$ is d-exact.
2. a vector field X on M^A is locally hamiltonian if the form $i_X \Omega^A$ is d^A-closed and X is globally hamiltonian if there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that $i_X \Omega^A = -d^A(\varphi)$, i.e. the form $i_X \Omega^A$ is d^A-exact.

Proposition 8. *A vector field $\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$ on a symplectic manifold M is locally hamiltonian, if and only if $\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$ is a locally hamiltonian vector field.*

Proof. For any $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^A(i_{\theta^A}\Omega^A) &= d^A[(i_\theta \Omega)^A] \\ &= [d(i_\theta \Omega)]^A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, θ is locally hamiltonian, i.e $d(i_\theta \Omega) = 0$ if and only if, $d^A(i_\theta \Omega^A) = 0$ i.e $\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$ is a locally hamiltonian vector field. □

Theorem 9. *A vector field $X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$ on M^A locally hamiltonian is a derivation of the A-Lie algebra induced by the A-structure of Poisson defined by the symplectic A-manifold (M^A, Ω^A).*

Proof. Let (M^A, Ω^A) be a symplectic manifold. For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A} &= -\Omega^A(X_\varphi, X_\psi) \\ &= X_\varphi(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

If X is locally hamiltonian vector field, we have $d^A(i_X \Omega^A) = 0$ i.e. for any X and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^A)$,

$$d^A(i_X \Omega^A)(X, Y) = 0.$$

In particular, for any X_φ and X_ψ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d^A(i_X \Omega^A))(X_\varphi, X_\psi) \\ &= X_\varphi[i_X \Omega^A(X_\psi)] - X_\psi[i_X \Omega^A(X_\varphi)] - i_X \Omega^A([X_\varphi, X_\psi]) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$i_X \Omega^A([X_\varphi, X_\psi]) = X_\varphi[i_X \Omega^A(X_\psi)] - X_\psi[i_X \Omega^A(X_\varphi)]$$

i.e

$$\Omega^A(X, [X_\varphi, X_\psi]) = X_\varphi[\Omega^A(X, X_\psi)] - X_\psi[\Omega^A(X, X_\varphi)]$$

Hence

$$X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A}) = \{X(\varphi), \psi\}_{\Omega^A} + \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_{\Omega^A}.$$

That ends the proof. □

Proposition 10. *Let* (M, Ω) *be a symplectic manifold. If a vector field*

$$\theta : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$$

is globally hamiltonian then the vector field

$$\theta^{A} : C^{\infty}(M^{A}, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^{A}, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian.

Proof. If θ is globally hamiltonian, then there exists $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $i_{\theta}\Omega = -d(f)$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (i_{\theta}\Omega)^{A} & = & [-d(f)]^{A} \\ & = & d^{A}\left(f^{A}\right) \end{array}$$

Thus

$$i_{\theta^{A}}\Omega^{A} = d^{A}\left(f^{A}\right).$$

i.e θ^{A} is globally hamiltonian. □

References

[1] Bossoto, B.G.R. Okassa, E. (2012). *A-Poisson structures on Weil bundles,* Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, vol .7, n°16, 785-803.

[2] Bossoto, B.G.R. Okassa, E. (2008). *Champs de vecteurs et formes différentielles sur une variété des points proches*, Arch. math. (BRNO), Tomus44, 159-171.

[3] Helgason, S. (1962). *Differential Geometry and symmetric spaces,* New York; Academic Press, 1962

[4] Kolár, I, Michor, P. W. and Slovak, J. (1993) *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[5] Koszul, J.L., Ramanan, S. (1960). *Lectures On Fibre Bundles and Differential Geometry*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.

[6] Lichnerowicz, A. (1977). *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées,* J. Diff. Geom., 12, 253–300.

[7] Morimoto, A. (1976). *Prolongation of connections to bundles of infinitely near points*, J. Diff. Geom, 11, 479-498.

[8] Nkou, V.B. Bossoto, B.G.R., Okassa, E. (2015). *New characterization of vector field on Weil bundles*, Theoretical Mathematics & Applications, vol.5, no.2, 1-17.

[9] Nkou, V.B. Bossoto, B.G.R. (2014). *Cohomology associated to a Poisson structure on Weil bundles*, Int.Math. Forum, vol. 9, no. 7, 305- 316.

[10] Okassa, E. (1986-1987). *Prolongement des champs de vecteurs à des variétés des points prohes,* Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. VIII (3), 346-366.

[11] Pham-Mau-Quan, F. (1969). *Introduction à la géométrie des variétés différentiables*, Dunod Paris.

[12] Vaisman, I. (1995). *Second order Hamiltonian vector fields on tangent bundles,* Differential Geom., 5, 153–170.

[13] Vaisman, I. (1994). *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds,* in: Progress in Math., 118, Basel Birkhäuser.

[14] Weil A. (1953). *Théorie des points proches sur les variétés différentiables,* Colloq. Géom. Diff. Strasbourg, 111-117.